DOROTHY LORIMER BURSARY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA MATRIX

Section 1: Applicant Details

Name of Applicant:	

Section 2: Applicant Eligibility

Is the applicant a current ASMIRT Voting Member?	☐ Yes *(Proceed to next question)*	☐ No *(The applicant does not meet the eligibility criteria for consideration)*
If yes, has the applicant been a continuous ASMIRT Voting Member for a minimum of five (5) years?	☐ Yes *(The applicant meets the eligibility criteria for consideration)*	☐ No *(The applicant does not meet the eligibility criteria for consideration)*

Section 3: Documentation

(If any documentation has not been provided, the applicant will need to provide this prior to the application being assessed)

	Yes	No	
Committee Approved Expression of Interest form	☐	☐	
Completed Application	☐	☐	
Statements addressing the Assessment & Selection Criteria	☐	☐	
Proposed Timeline	☐	☐	
Proposed Budget	☐	☐	
Outline of proposed project, including supporting evidence	☐	☐	
Resume	☐	☐	
Letter of Support from Employer	☐	☐	N/A ☐
Two (2) written Professional References	☐	☐	

Section 4: Assessment of Application

Selection Criteria	0 - 2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 10	Score
The relationship between the proposed project, current discipline of practice and how it will address an identified gap in the Australian healthcare delivery landscape.	No link made.	Tenuous link made.	Minor links made.	Clear and considered links made.	Strong and evidence based links made.	
How the proposed project will enhance or diversify skills and knowledge within current discipline of practice.	No connections made.	Tenuous connections made.	Some minor connections made.	Considered connections made. These connections are relevant and thoughtful.	Strong connections made. These connections are relevant, thoughtful and evidence based.	
How the proposed project will improve the workforce, the medical radiations community, and health outcomes of the Australian people.	Little to no knowledge of improvements demonstrated. No connections made.	Broad knowledge of improvements demonstrated. Weak connection made with no evidence base.	Some specific improvements demonstrated. Reasonable connection made with effective reference to evidence base.	Sound, specific knowledge of improvements demonstrated. Effective connection made and evidence based.	Excellent and highly specific improvements demonstrated. Connection is highly relevant, and evidence based.	
How the proposed project supports the development and/or implementation of new, innovative, and service-evolving practice for Australian health care	Little to no knowledge of innovation demonstrated. No connections made.	Broad knowledge of innovation demonstrated. Weak connection made with no evidence base.	Some specific innovation demonstrated. Reasonable connection made with effective reference to evidence base.	Sound, specific knowledge of innovation demonstrated. Effective connection made and evidence based.	Excellent and highly specific innovation demonstrated. Connection is highly relevant, and evidence based.	
How the proposed project will directly create improvements to patient care in Australia.	Little to no knowledge of improvements to patient care demonstrated. No connections made.	Broad knowledge of improvements to patient care demonstrated. Weak connection made with no evidence base.	Some specific improvements to patient care demonstrated. Reasonable connection made with effective reference to evidence base.	Sound, specific knowledge of improvements to patient care demonstrated. Effective connection made and evidence based.	Excellent and highly specific improvements to patient care demonstrated. Connection is highly relevant, and evidence based.	

	1	2	3	4	5	Score
Why the proposed project needs to be conducted overseas and why it is not achievable in Australia.	Not present.	Lists equipment/training/unique practice only.	Outlines proposed project and brief description of relevance.	A detailed description of proposed project and the relevance to medical radiation practice.	Compelling case demonstrated for the need of the project.	
How you will disseminate this information into the **medical radiations'** community.	Not present.	Brief outline only of intended method of sharing information.	Weak demonstration of project dissemination.	Sound demonstration of project dissemination.	Clear, compelling demonstration of how project will be disseminated.	
Identification of anticipated outcomes and achievability of proposed project and timeline.	Not demonstrated. No outcome provided and project is not feasible.	Minimal detail of outcomes provided and doubts around feasibility.	Weak detail of outcomes provided and minimal chance of project feasibility.	Outcomes well demonstrated and project feasible.	Excellent insight into project outcomes demonstrated and project highly feasible.	
Demonstration of transferable skills to achieve outcomes of the proposed project and timeline.	No transferable skills demonstrated.	Little demonstration of transferrable skills shown.	Moderate demonstration of transferrable skills shown.	Good demonstration of transferrable skills shown.	Excellent demonstration of transferrable skills shown.	
Application Quality	1	2	3	4	5	Score
Concise, grammatically correct, and adhered to application guidelines.	No regard for application guidelines.	Rushed application with brief details provided and poor grammar.	Acceptable application with minimal details provided. Guidelines followed and acceptable grammar.	Well thought out application, grammatically correct and adheres to application guidelines.	Excellent thorough application, grammatically correct and adheres to application guidelines.	
Budget Justification	None.	Limited.	Budget justified.	Budget well justified.	Excellent budget justification.	
TOTAL SCORE (100)						

Assessors Comments:

Comments	

info@asmirt.org
www.asmirt.org
PO Box 16234,
Collins Street West,
VIC 8007, Australia
+61 3 9419 3336

Page 3 of 3
Assessment Matrix
Dorothy Lorimer Bursary (July 2023)